Hybrid-cascade Coupled-Line Phasers for High-resolution Radio-Analog Signal Processing

T. Paradis, S. Gupta, Q. Zhang, L. J. Jiang, and C. Caloz

A hybrid-cascade (HC) coupled-line phaser configuration is presented to synthesize enhanced group delay responses for high-resolution Radio-Analog Signal Processing (R-ASP). Using exact analytical transfer functions, the superiority of HC coupled-line phasers over conventional transversally cascaded C-section phasers is demonstrated and verified using full-wave simulations.

arXiv:1401.6046v1 [physics.ins-det] 14 Jan 2014

Introduction: Radio-Analog Signal Processing (R-ASP) is defined as the real-time manipulation of signals in their analog form to realize specific operations enabling microwave or millimeter-wave and terahertz applications ASP. The heart of an R-ASP system is a *phaser*, which is a component exhibiting a specified frequency-dependent group-delay response within a given frequency range. Such phasers have found useful applications in the domain of instrumentation, security, short-range communication and RADAR systems, to name a few [1].

A common requirement in high-resolution R-ASP is to achieve high dispersion in phasers, in order to provide large frequency discrimination in the time-domain [2]. This translates into the requirement to achieve a large group delay swing in the phaser within the desired bandwidth. Recently, a coupled-line phaser based on composite right/left-handed (CRLH) transmission lines was proposed utilizing its tight coupling property to achieve this goal [2]. However, considering its design complexity, multi-layer configuration and fabrication sensitivity, a new configuration based on hybrid-cascaded (HC) coupled-lines was proposed in [3]. This configuration exploits the fact that the required coupling level can be relaxed by folding the conventional C-section into a cross-shaped structure, where superior effective backward coupling results from the discontinuities introduced by the arms of the cross. Consequently, tight coupling coefficients are achieved using different coupled-line sections of relatively small coupling values [4]. This paper demonstrates the advantage of using HC coupled-line phasers over regular cascaded C-section phasers in group delay engineering via both analytical and full-wave simulation results.



Fig. 1 *C-section all-pass phaser configurations. a) C-section phaser formed from a single coupled-line coupler and hybrid-cascade (HC) coupled-line phaser consisting of 3 C-sections and 1 coupled-line coupler. b) HC coupled-line phaser configuration analyzed as a coupled-line coupler terminated with a frequency dependent load S_0.*

C-section Phasers: A C-section is a coupled-line coupler with its two ends interconnected so as to form a two-port network, as shown on the left of Fig. 1(a). It is an all-pass network ($|S_{21}(\omega)|=1\forall\omega$) exhibiting a frequency dependent group delay response, with its delay maxima occurring at odd-multiple of quarter-wavelength frequencies, i.e. $\ell=(2m+1)\lambda_g$ [5][6]. Such a conventional C-section can be extended to a higher-order configuration, as proposed in [3], to form an HC coupled-line phaser, as shown in the right of Fig. 1(a). This HC coupled-line phaser may be seen as a cascading of a coupled-line coupled loaded with transversally-cascaded C-sections. As shown in [3], it provides a large group delay swing, as a result of enhanced effective-coupling between the coupled lines, which allows one to use coupled-line sections with moderate couplings.



Fig. 2 *Typical group delay responses for a conventional and a HC coupled-line phaser. The unfolded length in each case is $4(2\theta)$ and the identical coupling coefficient $k=0.4$ in all the coupled sections in both phasers.*

Assuming that the coupled lines are ideal lossless, perfectly matched and perfectly isolated TEM lines, the 4-port scattering matrix of the input coupled-line coupler of the HC phaser reads [7]

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \\ b_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & s_1(\theta) & s_2(\theta) & 0 \\ s_1(\theta) & 0 & 0 & s_2(\theta) \\ s_2(\theta) & 0 & 0 & s_1(\theta) \\ 0 & s_2(\theta) & s_1(\theta) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_4 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

with

$$s_1(\theta) = \frac{jk\sin\theta}{\sqrt{1-k^2}\cos\theta + j\sin\theta}, \tag{2a}$$

$$s_2(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{1-k^2}}{\sqrt{1-k^2}\cos\theta + j\sin\theta}, \tag{2b}$$

where k is the voltage coupling coefficient. For the two- port frequency-dependent load S_0, represented in the left part in Fig. 1(b), the following relation holds:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_3 \\ a_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & S_0 \\ S_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_3 \\ b_4 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

which transforms the 4-port coupled-line coupler into a 2-port C-section described, using (1) and (3), by the following set of equations: $b_1 = s_1a_2 + s_2S_0b_4$, $b_2 = s_1a_1 + s_2S_0b_3$, $b_3 = s_2a_1/(1-S_0s_1)$ and $b_4 = s_2a_2/(1-S_0s_1)$. These equations finally lead to the 2-port transfer function

$$S_{21}(\theta) = \frac{b_2}{a_1} = \frac{b_1}{a_2} = \left(s_1 + \frac{s_2^2S_0}{1-S_0s_1}\right). \tag{4}$$

When $S_0=1$, a regular C-section is obtained with the transfer function

$$S_{21}(\theta) = \left(\frac{\rho - j\tan\theta}{\rho + j\tan\theta}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad \rho = \sqrt{\frac{1+k}{1-k}}. \tag{5}$$

The transfer function of the HC coupled-line coupler of Fig. 1(a) is given by (4) with the termination transfer function

$$S_0 = \left(\frac{\rho_0 - j\tan\theta}{\rho_0 + j\tan\theta}\right)^3 \quad \text{where} \quad \rho_0 = \sqrt{\frac{1+k_0}{1-k_0}}. \tag{6}$$

Figure 2 shows the comparison between the typical group delay response of a conventional C-section and an HC coupled-line phaser, for the case of equal unfolded lengths. As can been seen, the HC coupled-line phaser exhibits a larger group delay swing for the same amount of maximum coupling-coefficient k, at the expense of a small bandwidth around each delay peak. Therefore, for low bandwidth applications, HC coupled-line phasers offer a distinct benefit of large delay swing, and thus high dispersion, compared to transversal cascade of C-sections.

Group Delay Engineering Example: To illustrate the benefit of HC coupled-line phasers, its role in synthesizing a specified group delay response using coupled-line phasers is investigated. Lets consider the objective of synthesizing a linear group delay response with a delay swing of $\Delta\tau = 3$ ns within 2-3 GHz bandwidth, under the constraint that $k < 0.4$. The coupling constraint ensures that an edge-coupled structure is sufficient to realize the final phaser in a planar configuration.



Fig. 3 *Analytical group delay response of a cascaded conventional C-section and HC coupled-line phaser to realize a specified linear-group delay response. Coupling coefficient $k < 0.4$ in all cases. The design parameters for the HC coupled-lines are [see Fig. 1(a)]: $k_i = (0.25,\ 0.4,\ 0.33,\ 0.4)$, $k_{0,i} = (0.4,\ 0.4,\ 0.4,\ 0.4)$ and $f_{0,i} = (3.05,\ 3.77,\ 3.44,\ 3.77)$.*

Fig. 3(a) shows that the specified delay response can be successfully achieved by using a 4-HC coupled-line phaser of different length and coupling coefficients. The previous approach consists in using a transversal cascade of C-sections of different lengths and couplings, as depicted in [8]. However, as seen from Fig. 3(b), this configuration fails to achieve the objective, the specified delay slope being too large to be realizable using this approach. Therefore, under the constraints of a maximum allowed k and maximum unfolded length, the HC coupled-line phaser is a superior choice.

To validate the synthesized delay response using the HC coupled-line phaser, a corresponding stripline circuit was modelled in ADS Momentum, using edge-coupled transmission lines only, as illustrated in Fig. 4. The structure consists of a copper trace sandwiched between two dielectric layers of Rogers RO3010 with $\varepsilon_r = 10.2$ and 25 mil thickness. A satisfactory return loss with $S_{11} < -10$ dB $\forall f$ is achieved, along with a successful realization of the specified linear group delay response.

Conclusion: A hybrid-cascade (HC) coupled-line phaser configuration has been demonstrated for high-resolution R-ASP. Wheras the HC coupled-line phaser easily achieved the desired response, the conventional C-section phaser failed to realize the required group delay slope.

Acknowledgment: This work was supported by NSERC Grant CRDPJ 402801-10 in partnership with Blackberry Inc.

T. Paradis and C. Caloz (*The Department of Electrical Engineering, PolyGrames Research Center, École Polytechnique de Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada.*)

Q. Zhang (*Electrical Engineering Department of South University of Science and Technology of China, Shenzhen, China.*)



Fig. 4 *Full-wave computed S-parameters and group delay response of a HC coupled-line phaser ($N = 4$), implemented in stripline technology, to realize a specified linear-group delay response. Coupling co-efficient $k < 0.4$ in all cases. The physical parameters of the structure are: $\ell_i = (7.58,\ 6.17,\ 6.57,\ 6.17)$mm, $g_{i,coupled} = (0.1,\ 0.12,\ 0.16,\ 0.12)$mm, $w_{i,coupled} = (0.16,\ 0.14,\ 0.15,\ 0.14)$mm, $g_{i,C\text{-}section} = 0.11$mm $\forall i$ and $w_{i,C\text{-}section} = 0.14$ mm $\forall i$.*

S. Gupta and L. J. Jiang (*Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China.*)

E-mail: shulabh@hku.hk

References

1 C. Caloz, S. Gupta, Q. Zhang, B. Nikfal, *IEEE Microw. Mag.*, 2013, **14**, 87–103.
2 S. Gupta, D. L. Sounas, H. V. Nguyen, Q. Zhang, C. Caloz, *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, 2012, **60**, 3939–3949.
3 S. Gupta, L. J. Jiang, C. Caloz, *Int. Conf. on Electromagnetics Advanced Applications (ICEAA), Torino, Italy*, 2013, 771–773.
4 Y. Wu, W. Sun, S.-W. Leung, Y. Diao, , K.-H. Chan, Y.-M. Siu, *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, 2013, **61**, 746–753.
5 E. G. Cristal, *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, 1966, **MTT-14**, 285–291.
6 W. J. D. Steenaart, *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, 1963, **11**, 23–29.
7 R. K. Mongia, I. J. Bahl, P. Bhartia, J. Hong, *Artech House Publishers, 2nd Ed.*, 2007.
8 S. Gupta, D. Sounas, Q. Zhang, C. Caloz, *Int. J. Circ. Theory Appl.*, 2013.